Travel Prediction-based Data Forwarding using Realistic Traffic Model in Vehicular Networks
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Abstract—Vehicular Ad Hoc Networks (VANET) have become one of the most important research areas for the successful and deployment of intelligent transportation system and the unmanned vehicle technologies. In VANET, Travel Prediction-based Data Forwarding (TPD) was proposed as one of novel data forwarding schemes based on road traffic statistics. However, the TPD could not guarantee good performance in the environment with the traffic light because of the increasing deviation of the road traffic statistics. Since urban road networks have individual traffic lights at each intersection, it is necessary to solve the above problem. In this paper, we devise a method to optimize the TPD in order to make it operate so well in the realistic traffic model. Our performance optimizing method is carried out with a statistical approach and an algorithmic approach. In the statistical approach, we consider a way to control the rise of the deviation in statistics which is the main cause of the significant performance degradation of the TPD. In the algorithmic approach, we first improve the TPD with multi-hop delivery, and next we improve the probability calculating function. Our realistic simulation shows that our statistical and algorithmic optimization method improves the performance of the TPD on a realistic traffic model dramatically.

Index Terms—vehicular networks, travel prediction, statistics, optimization, data forwarding.

I. INTRODUCTION

Vehicular Ad Hoc Networks (VANET) are getting attention as one of promising research areas as they could provide a great potential for efficient, safe and reliable unmanned vehicles in intelligent transport systems. In VANET, the performance of data dissemination highly depends on the traffic mobility pattern, traffic density, and dynamic topology. In order to address this problem, Travel Prediction-based Data Forwarding (TPD) was proposed as one of novel data forwarding schemes [1]–[3]. In TPD, traffic statistics is used to predict encounters of vehicles travelling towards each destination, and a reliable data forwarding path is generated by constructing a graph exploiting these encounters. Therefore, TPD allows the vehicles to efficiently and reliably disseminate various information even under the low density of traffic. Unfortunately, the TPD could not guarantee good performance in the realistic traffic environment with the traffic lights since the TPD has been targeted at the controlled environment without traffic lights. This is because the unpredictable vehicles mobility related to both traffic lights and various traffic density states by congestion or accidents might highly influence increasing the deviation of the road traffic statistics. It consequently affects its estimate of delivery probability, so that the packet transmission decreases much more than expected.

Especially since urban road networks have individual traffic lights at each intersection, it is necessary to solve the above problems for the adoption of the TPD scheme in order to achieve better performance under real traffic environments in the presence of traffic lights. For this reason we devise a method to optimize the TPD in order to make it operate so well in the realistic traffic model.

Our performance optimizing method is carried out with a statistical approach and an algorithmic approach. In the statistical approach, we consider a way to control the rise of the deviation of expected travel time which is the main cause of a significant performance degradation of TPD. While most of the vehicles pass through the given road network within a certain time span, some vehicles takes more time to travel than the average. Their delays do not happen frequently, but it might have a great influence on the deviation of traffic statistics. Therefore, we introduce a cutting optimization method to generate the statistics with reliability for the TPD. It cuts off the outliers that are so far away from the average and can reduce the deviation in order to increase the statistical prediction accuracy. The algorithmic approach also leads to increase both performance and applicability of the TPD. We can improve in two ways. The first is to provide multi-hop ad hoc routing as an effective way to disseminate packets since the TPD originally supports only one-hop forwarding to a pairwise encounter. Through the multi-hop data forwarding scheme, vehicles can transmit data even if they do not directly communicate with each other. There is therefore no doubt that the delivery probability increases. We introduce Destination-Sequenced Distance-Vector Routing (DSDV) to improve algorithm of the TPD [4]. It is vulnerable to routing error due to vehicular networks with the dynamically and rapidly changing topology since it is one of proactive routing protocols. To address this issue, we add some restrictions on DSDV routing to work well on fast changing VANETs. The second is to expand the range of road segments to be considered for
calculation in order to increase the precision of the model generating encounter probability. When calculating encounter probability between two vehicles, the TPD originally checks only one road segment for probability calculation even if they have multiple overlapping trajectories. Therefore, we extend original probability calculating function to multiple road segments, and this dramatically increases the performance. The modified probability calculating function of the TPD is expected to contribute to better performance. The TPD and these optimization techniques are implemented and simulated with Veins simulator consisting of a realistic vehicular network simulation framework based on OMNET++ network simulator and SUMO mobility simulators [5]–[7].

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we describe the related work. Section III provides the detail description of our performance optimizing methods. In Section IV, we evaluate the proposed performance optimizing method, and show experimental results. Finally, the paper is concluded with future work in Section V.

II. RELATED WORK

Recently, there has been a lot of interest in VANETs in term of reliable and efficient data forwarding for vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V), vehicle-to-infrastructure (V2I) and infrastructure-to-vehicle (I2V) communications [1]–[3], [8]–[12]. The data forwarding in VANETs shows a large different characteristics from that in mobile ad hoc networks (MANETs) [13] for following reasons: (1) predictable moving direction of vehicles due to the roadways, (2) variety of the moving speed of vehicles due to the speed limits, unpredictable accidents or phantom congestion, and (3) frequent change in network status due to the high speed of vehicle. These unique characteristics make VANETs data forwarding different from other research areas.

In the environment of multiple Internet Access Points (APs), TBD [2] improves performance by integrating both traffic statistics and GPS-based navigation systems. They provides link delay model to estimate the accurate link delay. By using this link delay model, they estimate link delay and deliver packets to the vehicle with lower link delay, resulting in faster data transmission.

Vasco et al. proposes a vehicular delay-tolerant network routing protocol in GeoSpray [14]. The GeoSpray works in an environment similar to TPD in that it considers light traffic situations. It uses geometric information to consider when there are fewer vehicle-to-vehicle encounters. This protocol sends a limited number of copies to locations close to the destination. If there are no destination nodes found, it switches to a forwarding scheme. Because this protocol also uses statistical data to predict the arrival time of vehicles, this protocol can use the method of our paper to make more precise predictions when doing statistical calculations.

In destination-sequenced distance-vector routing (DSDV) [4], Perkins et al. introduces an effective MANET routing scheme based on the bellman-ford algorithm. They solves the routing loop problem by introducing a sequence number in routing table. Every nodes increase and send their own sequence number when updating routing table. Then, the receiver node checks new information about the same destination has been received, and updates table to new one when new one having the higher sequence number than that of its own. This sequencing always provides latest information of destination node.

III. PERFORMANCE OPTIMIZING METHOD

In this section, we propose a novel performance optimizing method. Our method is divided into two perspectives. The following subsections describe how to handle statistical data to reduce the deviation of statistics, and then in order to increase the delivery ratio, describe the new concept of multi-hop routing and the expansion of the scope to calculate the encounter probability by enlarging the scope of an overlapping road segment to that of an overlapping path.
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Fig. 2: Mean and Standard Deviation in Road Segments
by Statistics Cutting Methods

A. Statistical Optimization

As shown in Fig. 2(a), which shows the raw trafﬁc data
(i.e., the travel time of vehicles travelling each road segment)
that are collected by our controlled environment, the standard
deviation of measured trafﬁc data almost rises in proportion
to the mean value. This rise in standard deviation has a
major inﬂuence in the actual delivery ratio. A real pairwise
encounter between vehicles might not happen as an encounter
is predicted by TPD based on vehicle trajectory analysis. Con-
sequently the packet transmission frequently fails, compared
with the expected success with the delivery probability derived
from trafﬁc statistics in TPD.

To address these serious problems by reducing the standard
deviation of the travel time, we try to ﬁnd out how to

B. Algorithmic Optimization

In this subsection, we introduce an algorithmic optimization
that enables TPD to achieve better performance in realistic
trafﬁc environments, which is consisted of a multi-hop routing
method and a multiple road segment method. It results in
improving both the expected delivery ratio and actual delivery
ratio.
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1) Vehicular Multi-Hop Distance Vector Routing: originally, the TPD has been implemented using only one-hop transmission, where vehicles can only exchange data whenever they come into the transmission range of each other. In TPD, two vehicles cannot communicate with each other when they are n-hop far away although one vehicle is in close proximity to another. In order to improve connectivity in TPD it is necessary to provide multi-hop transmission capability for these vehicles whose transmission ranges do not overlap each other, so that vehicles can be indirectly connected to someone far away. However, since DSDV is one of proactive routing protocols, it is not well-suited for VANETs in which the topology is highly dynamic and changeable, depending on the velocity, density, trajectory of vehicles on the road. For example, in the VANETs, even if a vehicle is selected as the next hop towards destination from the routing table, it can disappear within a few seconds. To address structural vulnerability of DSDV, we add some constraints on the DSDV routing protocol, to control the rapid changing routing state. The first constraint is related to the routing table update interval and timeout. Update interval of their routing table and would be constantly up to date. In consideration of the mobility of vehicles, a smaller value of the route timeout is necessary to provide multi-hop transmission capability for the TPD. When a vehicle further from TPD has considered the possible encounter on only one road segment. Therefore, we expand how to calculate the encounter probability in TPD. When a vehicle moves along a path to pass from one intersection to another intersection along a path to pass from one intersection to another intersection... and vehicle V_b moves along a reverse path (n_k, n_{k-1}, ..., and n_1) of V_a, the probability that V_a and V_b meet each other on one road section for a whole path (n_1, n_2, ..., and n_k) is calculated by using equation (2).

In this case, T_{a_k} (or T_{b_k}) is the time traveled by the path of the vehicle further from T_{a_k} (or T_{b_k}) as \( d_{x,y} \), which represents the length of the road segment between intersection \( n_x \) and \( n_y \).

\[
T_{a_k} = T_{a_1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} d_{i,i+1} \quad \text{(3)}
\]

\[
T_{b_1} = T_{b_k} + \sum_{i=k}^{2} d_{i,i-1} \quad \text{(4)}
\]

By equation (3) and (4),

\[
T_{a_k} = T_{a_1} + t_{1,k} \quad \text{(5)}
\]

\[
T_{b_1} = T_{b_k} + t_{k,1} \quad \text{(6)}
\]

where \( t_{1,k} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} E[d_{i,i+1}] \) and \( t_{k,1} = \sum_{i=k}^{2} E[d_{i,i-1}] \). Here, if we substitute \( T_{a_k} \) and \( T_{b_1} \) in (2) to (5) and (6), we can obtain the following equation:

\[
P(V_a \oplus_1 V_b) = P(T_{a_1} \leq t_{1,k} \leq T_{a_1} + t_{1,k} + t_{k,1}) \quad \text{(7)}
\]

Based on (7), the probability density function (PDF) for \( T_{a_1} \) and \( T_{b_1} \) is obtained as follows:

\[
P(V_a \oplus_1 V_b) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{x}^{x+t_{1,k}+t_{k,1}} f(x)g(y)dydx \quad \text{(8)}
\]

In this case, since \( t_{1,k} + t_{k,1} \geq t_{1,2} + t_{2,1} \) is always established for \( k \geq 2 \), the encounter probability is increased because the integration area for \( f(x) \) is widened.

IV. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

In this section, we explain the simulation environment and implementation for evaluation and the result from the simulation. For the implementation of realistic traffic model, we implemented the TPD on a proven simulator, Veins [5]. The Veins simulator integrates OMNET++, a proven network simulator, and SUMO, a proven road environment simulator, to realize a VANETs environment [6], [7].

We collect statistical information on the road networks by installing a loop detector on each road, and then we implement the TPD by using this statistical information. We also implement the performance optimizing methods as described in Section III.

Since the most important purpose of the TPD is reliable packet delivery, we use the packet delivery ratio for the performance evaluation. Here, we measure the expected delivery ratio and the actual delivery ratio, respectively, to represent the performance of the improved TPD. The expected delivery ratio represents the calculated probability of the packet using the probability calculation function of the TPD. Likewise, the actual delivery ratio represents the rate at which packets are
successfully transmitted to the destination when the packet is actually transmitted. When evaluating the performance, we should look at these two values together. The higher the actual delivery ratio, the better the performance. However, for reliable delivery, it is best to have a value similar to the expected delivery ratio even if the actual delivery ratio is a little lower. If the actual delivery ratio is higher than the expected delivery ratio, the probability calculating function underestimates the delivery ratio. Similarly, if the actual delivery ratio is lower than the expected delivery ratio, the probability calculating function overestimates the delivery ratio. Therefore, the actual delivery ratio should be close to the expected delivery ratio while maintaining a certain level.

We evaluate our performance optimizing method from three viewpoints. First, we evaluate the statistical optimization method, the statistics cutting method. In this case, we consider four cases: (1) no statistics cutting method (using original statistics, control group), (2) the 50% cutting method, (3) the 50% minimum standard deviation cutting method, and (4) the optimal cutting method.

The evaluation result of statistics cutting method is shown in Fig. 3. If the cutting method is not used, the expected delivery ratio and the actual delivery ratio are both low. The deviation of the statistics is too high, so it can be seen that the characteristics of the actual traffic cannot be properly applied. The following bar shows the case of using the 50% cutting method. As the deviation of the statistics decreases, the expected delivery ratio and the actual delivery ratio both increase. The third bar shows the case of using the 50% minimum standard deviation cutting method. Here, we can see that the expected delivery ratio increases but the actual delivery ratio decreases. This indicates that overestimation of the probability is made using overly dense statistics to lower the standard deviation. In addition, due to the overestimate, the actual delivery ratio is also lowered by operating differently from the prediction. The last bar shows the optimal cutting method. In this method, the deviation is reduced, but it cuts optimally for each statistics data considering the mean of existing statistics. As a result, the optimal cutting method shows dramatically high performance compared with the results of other methods.

Fig. 4 shows the performance according to the maximum number of routing hops when multi-hop routing is implemented. The one-hop routing means that it does not perform the multi-hop routing because it only allows communication with directly connected vehicles. As the number of maximum hop increases up to three hops, the actual delivery ratio increases. But, over three hops, the actual delivery ratio is decreased, even worse than one hop routing. This is because the vehicles move at a high speed that the routing information changes rapidly. Therefore, if a packet travels through a large number of vehicles in multi-hop routing, the routing may fail in the middle. As a result, we can see from the experimental results that the maximum three hop routing limit is ideal for the TPD operation.

Fig. 5 shows the performance according to the use of multiple road segments method. The single road segment method is a function used in the existing TPD. In this case, the actual delivery ratio is significantly lower than the expected
delivery ratio. This means that the encounter for single road segment cannot calculate the probability accurately. On the other hand, in case of encounter probability for multiple road segment, difference between expected delivery delay and actual delivery delay is small. However, the expected delivery ratio is slightly smaller than in the single road segment.

This is caused by the absolute difference in the number of actually occurring encounters. For the single road segment case, the number of encounters is small, while the encounter probability of each encounter is high. On the other hand, in a multiple road segment case, it is calculated to encounter in a certain probability, even if it is calculated not to encounter in the single road segment case. As a result, the expected encounter probability decreases slightly but the actual encounter probability rises, therefore the performance increases significantly.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we introduce statistical and algorithmic optimization methods for Travel Prediction-based Data Forwarding (TPD) in realistic traffic model for vehicular networks. Traffic statistics is used to predict encounters of vehicles in TPD. Unfortunately, the TPD could not guarantee good performance in the realistic traffic environment, because of the unpredictable vehicles mobility. Therefore, we devise a method to optimize the TPD in order to make it operate so well in the realistic traffic model. Our performance optimizing method is carried out with a statistical approach and an algorithmic approach. We introduce a cutting optimization method in the statistical approach, and introduce multi-hop routing and expanding to multiple road segments in the algorithmic approach. The evaluation is performed with realistic simulation with Veins simulator, which consists of OMNET++, network simulator, and SUMO, road environment simulator. The simulation shows that our performance optimization method achieves a significant improvement in the performance of the TPD to work well in realistic environment with traffic light.
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